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estimates of model complexity of neural networks
derived using tools from approximation theory

## Learning $=$ optimization problem


minimize $\Phi$ over $M$
$\operatorname{span}_{n} G=$ linear combinations of $n$
functions corresponding to the type of computational units
expected error functional $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ empirical error functional $\mathcal{E}_{z}$ data: sample z or measure $\rho$
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of network units $=$ measure of network complexity

## Problem

for given data (defined either by a probability measure or by a sample) find a suitable type of computational units (defined by a parameterized set of functions $G$ )
the better choice of units, the smaller number $n$ of computational units

Functional defined by a sample of data

$$
z=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R} \quad \text { sample of data }
$$

Empirical error functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{z}(f)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(f\left(u_{i}\right)-v_{i}\right)^{2}
$$



Minimization of empirical error functional $=$ the least square method Gauss 1809, Legendre 1806

Functional defined by a probability measure
$\rho=$ non degenerate (no nonempty open set has measure zero) probability measure on $Z=X \times Y \quad \rho(Z)=1$

$$
X \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \text { compact } \quad Y \subset \mathbb{R} \text { bounded }
$$

## Expected error functional

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(f)=\int_{X \times Y}(f(u)-v)^{2} d \rho
$$

Traditional applications of the least square method

## best fitting functions were searched for in LINEAR hypothesis spaces

$\Rightarrow$ limitations on applications to high-dimensional data!

## CURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY

the dimension $n$ of a linear space needed for approximation of smooth functions of $d$ variables within accuracy $\varepsilon$ is
$\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d}\right)$
$\Rightarrow \quad$ model complexity $n$ of LINEAR models grows
EXPONENTIALLY with the data dimension $d$

## Hypothesis sets in neurocomputing

the best fitting functions are searched for in NONLINEAR and NONCONVEX hypothesis spaces

$$
\operatorname{span}_{n} G=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} g_{i} \mid \omega_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, g_{i} \in G\right\}
$$

$=$ set of functions computable by a network with one linear output and $n$ hidden units computing functions from $G$
a nested family $\quad \ldots \subseteq \operatorname{span}_{n} G \subseteq \operatorname{span}_{n+1} G \subseteq \ldots$
variable-basis approximation scheme approximation from a dictionary

## Computational units

perceptrons:
$G=\mathcal{P}_{d}(\sigma)=\left\{\sigma(v \cdot x+b) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b \in \mathrm{R}\right\}$
PLANE WAVES

radial-basis function (RBF) units:
$G=\mathcal{B}_{d}(\psi)=\left\{\psi(b\|x-v\|) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \quad$ SPHERE WAVES


## Optimal solution

Global minimum of expected error $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$

## Regression function

$$
f_{\rho}(x)=\int_{Y} y d \rho(y \mid x)
$$

$\rho(y \mid x)=$ conditional (w.r.t. $x$ ) probability measure on $Y$ $\rho_{X}=$ marginal probability measure on $X\left(\forall S \subseteq X \quad \rho_{X}(S)=\right.$ $\rho\left(\pi_{X}^{-1}(S)\right), \quad \pi_{X}: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ projection $)$

$$
\min _{f \in \mathcal{L}_{\rho_{X}}^{2}} \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(f)=\mathcal{E}_{\rho}\left(f_{\rho}\right)
$$

the regression function $f_{\rho}$ is global minimizer of $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ over $\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{X}}^{2}$

## Optimal solution

Global minimum of empirical error $\mathcal{E}_{z}$
$\forall$ sample of data $z$ of size $m$
$\exists$ interpolating function $f^{o}$ computable by a network with m units $f^{o} \in \operatorname{span}_{m} G$

$$
\min _{f \in \operatorname{span}_{m} G} \mathcal{E}_{z}(f)=\mathcal{E}_{z}\left(f^{o}\right)=0
$$

holds for sigmoidal perceptrons and RBF and kernel units with suitable kernels

## Approximate minimization

optimal solutions $f^{o}$ and the regression function $f_{\rho}$ may not be computable by networks with a reasonably small number of hidden units

BUT they can be approximated by suboptimal solutions
$=$ minima over $\operatorname{span}_{n} G$ with $n \ll m$ number of units
approximation of the problems $\left(\operatorname{span}_{m} G, \mathcal{E}_{z}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{span}_{m} G, \mathcal{E}_{\rho}\right)$ by a sequence of problems

$$
\left\{\left(\operatorname{span}_{n} G, \mathcal{E}_{z}\right) \mid n=1, \ldots, m\right\} \text { and } \quad\left\{\left(\operatorname{span}_{n} G, \mathcal{E}_{\rho}\right) \mid n=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

speed of convergence as a measure of complexity
$\inf _{f \in \operatorname{span}_{n} G} \mathcal{E}_{z}(f) \rightarrow 0 \quad$ and $\quad \inf _{f \in \operatorname{span}_{n} G} \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\rho}\left(f_{\rho}\right)$

## Tools from approximation theory

minimization of expected error $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ is equivalent to minimization of the $\mathcal{L}_{\rho_{X}}^{2}$-distance from the regression function $f_{\rho}$
minimization of empirical error $\mathcal{E}_{z}$ is equivalent to minimization of the $l^{2}$-distance from $f_{z}$
$f_{z}\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}$
$\Rightarrow \quad$ we can use tools from approximation theory to estimate speed of convergence of infima (minima) of error functionals over $\operatorname{span}_{n} G$ with $n$ increasing

Upper bound on rates of variable-basis approximation

Maurey (1981), Jones (1992), Barron (1993)
$G$ a bounded subset of a Hilbert space $(X,\|\cdot\|), s_{G}=\sup _{g \in G}\|g\|$ $\forall f \in \operatorname{conv} G \quad \forall n$

$$
\left\|f-\operatorname{conv}_{n} G\right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{s_{G}^{2}-\|f\|^{2}}{n}}
$$

$\operatorname{conv}_{n} G=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} g_{i} \mid \omega_{i} \in[0,1], \sum_{i=1}^{n}=1, g_{i} \in G\right\}$
Corollary: $\forall f \in X \quad \forall n$

$$
\left\|f-\operatorname{span}_{n} G\right\| \leq \frac{s_{G}\|f\|_{G}}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

$\|\cdot\|_{G}=$ norm tailored to $G$
$\|f\|_{G}=\inf \left\{b>0 \left\lvert\, \frac{f}{b} \in \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{conv}(G \cup-G)\right.\right\}$

## Comparison with linear approximation

number of hidden units $=$ model complexity of the network needed for approximation within $\varepsilon$ grows as

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}
$$

in contrast to $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d}\right)$ in linear approximation
$d=$ number of variables of functions in $G$
$=$ number of network inputs

## Norm tailored to a set of functions $G$

$(X,\|\cdot\|)$ normed linear space, $G$ bounded subset of $X$
$G$-variation $=$ Minkowski functional of the
closed convex symmetric hull of $G$

$$
\|f\|_{G}=\inf \left\{b>0 \left\lvert\, \frac{f}{b} \in \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{conv}(G \cup-G)\right.\right\}
$$

(1) $G$ orthogonal
$\|f\|_{G}=\|f\|_{1, G}=\sum_{g \in G}|f . g|$
$l_{1}$-norm wrt $G$
(2) $G$ characteristic
functions of half-spaces
(perceptrons)
variation wrt half-spaces
(generalization of total variation)
$T(f)=\int\left|f^{\prime}\right| \quad d=1$
$\approx$ sum of "heights of steps"

$\theta$ Heaviside activation function


## Tightness of Maurey-Jones-Barron's theorem

Maurey-Jones-Barron's theorem is a worst-case result holds for all functions in a ball in variational norm

Tightness results:
G orthonormal (constructive proof)
G sigmoidal perceptrons (proof by contradiction based on comparison of covering numbers)

## Improvements of Maurey-Jones-Barron's theorem

better rates of approximation for
suitable subsets of balls in variational norms

Lavretsky, 2002
defined a subset $F_{\delta}(G)$ of $\operatorname{conv} G$ (for $\left.\delta \in(0,1]\right)$
$\forall f \in F_{\delta}(G)$
$\left\|f-\operatorname{conv}_{n} G\right\| \leq(1-\delta)^{n-1}\left(s_{G}^{2}-\|f\|^{2}\right)$
missing characterization of $F_{\delta}(G)$, no examples
? is $F_{\delta}(G)$ non-empty ?
non transparent definition
$F_{\delta}(G)=\{f \in \operatorname{cl}$ conv $G \mid(\forall h \in \operatorname{conv} G, f \neq h)(\exists g \in G)$
$((f-g) \cdot(f-h) \leq-\delta\|f-g\|\|f-h\|)\}$

Geometric rate for all functions in $\operatorname{conv} G$

Kưrková, Sanguineti
$(X,\|\cdot\|)$ a Hilbert space, $G$ its bounded subset
$\forall f \in X \exists \delta_{f} \in(0,1]$

$$
\left\|f-\operatorname{conv}_{n} G\right\| \leq\left(1-\delta_{f}\right)^{n-1}\left(s_{G}^{2}-\|f\|^{2}\right)
$$

constructive proof, $\delta_{f}$ and incremental approximants are not defined uniquely

Sets of functions with the same geometric rate
we can define unique $\delta(f)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(f)=\max \left\{\delta>0 \mid(\forall n)\left\|f-\operatorname{conv}_{n} G\right\| \leq\left(1-\delta^{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(s_{G}^{2}-\|f\|^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& A_{\delta}(G)=\{f \in \operatorname{conv} G \mid \delta(f)=\delta\} \\
& \operatorname{conv} G=\cup_{\delta \in(0,1]} A_{\delta}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

? geometry of sets $A_{\delta}(G)$ ?

## Geometry of sets $A_{\delta}(G)$

( $X,\|\cdot\|)$ infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space $G$ orthornormal basis
$\forall k \geq 3 \exists h_{k} \in \operatorname{conv} G$ with $\left\|h_{k}\right\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 k}}$
$\delta\left(h_{k}\right)^{2} \leq 1-5^{-\frac{1}{k-1}} e^{-\frac{\ln (k-1)}{k-1}}$
$A_{\delta}(G)$ are not convex and do not contain any ball (even any sphere) in \|.\|
in finite dimensional spaces sets $A_{\delta}(G)$ contain balls in $\|$.

## Conclusion

every function $f$ in a Hilbert space can be approximated by $\operatorname{span}_{n} G$ with a rate bounded from above by $\frac{\|f\|_{G}}{\sqrt{n}}$
$G$-variation can be estimated using various methods (integral representations, smoothing operators, maxima of partial derivatives...)

## MOREOVER

every function $f$ in conv $G$ can be approximated by $\operatorname{span}_{n} G$ with a rate bounded from above by $(1-\delta(f))^{n-1}\left(s_{G}^{2}-\|f\|^{2}\right)$ where $\delta(f) \in(0,1]$ is specific for each $f$

BUT geometry of sets with the same $\delta(f)$ is complicated, characterization is difficult

